UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,480	04/19/2004	Cassandre Michelle Fecht	DC4998CIP1	3304	
Dow Corning C	7590 02/23/200 Corporation	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Prop	perty Dept CO1232	ROBERTS, LEZAH			
P.O. Box 994 Midland, MI 48	686-0994		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,480	FECHT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 January 2009. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	icalice
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-7, 9, 11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13 and 14</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Frederick Krass/	/Lezah W Roberts/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	Examiner, Art Unit 1612		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In regards to Hiwatari, the compounds having structures 9 and 10

encompass the formulas of the instant claims. The claims disclose several formulas that include various compounds encompassed by said formulas. The core silicone structure of the instant claims may have repeating units ranging from 1 to 500 whereas the corresponding core of the reference, having two variables, will each have a range of 1-1000. The end groups of the reference comprise formula 10 wherein the ethylene oxide group and the propylene oxide group have repeating units ranging from 0-50. Therefore one in the art would be able to envision the compound of the instant claims.

In regards to Candau, the instant claims encompass various compounds. Candau discloses compounds encompassed by the various compounds recited in the instant claims. Compounds of formula II in the reference encompass the instant claims and one of skill in the art would be able to envision the compounds based on the disclosure of the reference. The core silicone structure of the reference may have repeating units ranging from 5 to 300 and the group in the instant claims corresponding to this group may have repeating units ranging from 1 to 500. Therefore in this regard, the compounds of the reference are less broad than those of the instant claims. In regards to the oxyalkylene side chains, this group is encompassed by the definition of R of formula 1 when s is 3, t is 1 and u is 0 and R1 is H. Therefore the reference anticipates the instant claims.

In regards to Kumar et al., the compounds of the instant claims are encompassed by the formula disclosed in column 23. The variables a and b may range preferably from 1-30 and 1-50 respectively. G is an oxyalkylene group which encompasses R1 of the instant claims because p may range from 1-5, m ranges from 1-50 and n ranges from 0-30. When p is 3, m is 1, n is 0 and Rb is hydrogen, the formula encompasses the instant claims and one of skill in the art would be able to envision the compound when reading the reference.

The Examiner notes that claim 4 has been inadvertently left out of the rejections and Applicant does not address that the claim was not rejected. It is also rejected under 102 (b) as being anticipated by Hiwatari, Candau and Kumar. The addition of claim 4 does not affect the grounds of rejection or add issues not already addressed by Applicant's reply.